

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10/804,410 | 03/19/2004 | Eric Stender | STENDR 3.0-001 | 7366 |
| 530 75 | 90 04/03/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, | | | CHOI, STEPHEN | |
| KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | • | 3724 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/03/2000 | DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/804,410 | STENDER, ERIC | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Stephen Choi | 3724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E. | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | · | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | • ' | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement. | | | | | |
| ,— ,, <u>—</u> | 4 | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | • | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | d in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I. Claim 2 is, drawn to a heating element, classified in class 30, subclass 140.
 - Group II. Claims 6-9 are, drawn to a specific power source, classified in class 30, subclass 123.
 - Group III. Claims 10-15 are, drawn to a fastener, classified in class 403, subclass 300.
 - Group IV. Claims 16-18 are, drawn to a method of working on a material, classified in class 83, subclass 15.

It is noted that claim 18, a method claim, depends on claim 15 which is an apparatus claim. Claim 18 is assumed to be depended on claim 16 for this restriction requirement only.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of groups I-III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a hand tool not having a forced air portion having a blower and an outlet positioned on the hand tool set forth in groups I-III.

Art Unit: 3724

Any of claims 1 and 3-5 that are readable on the elected species will be examined with the elected invention.

Claim 1 links inventions of groups I-III. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Inventions of groups I-II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the apparatus of group I has utility other than the disclosed combination such as, the hand tool having a different power source than those set forth in group II, and conversely, the apparatus of group II has utility other than the disclosed combination such as the hand tool not requiring a heating element set forth in group I, the apparatus of group I has utility other than the disclosed combination such as, the hand tool having a different fastener than those set

Art Unit: 3724

forth in group III, and conversely, the apparatus of group III has utility other than the disclosed combination such as the hand tool not requiring a heating element set forth in group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

There is an excessive burden on the office to examine all of these inventions together, as shown by their search. See MPEP 808.02(C). For example, the device of group I will need to be searched in class 30, subclass 140, along with a unique text search. Group III would not be searched as above, but would instead be searched in class 403, subclass 300 accompanied by a different text search.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A - The embodiment shown on Figures 1-2.

Species B - The embodiment shown on Figures 3-4.

Species C - The embodiment shown on Figures 5-6.

Species D - The embodiment shown on Figures 7-8.

Species E - The embodiment shown on Figure 9.

If group II is elected, applicant is further required to elect between:

Species F - The embodiment described in claim 7.

Species G - The embodiment described in claim 8.

Art Unit: 3724

Species H - The embodiment described in claim 9.

The species are independent or distinct because each of species A-E contains a different hand tool with a different fastener and each of species F-H contains a different power source.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims may be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc 29 March 2006

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER